· -	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Communication Re: Appeal	09/809,454	RETZLOFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher S. Kim	3752
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on 19 April 2004 is not	t acceptable because:	
(a) it was not timely filed.		
(b) \square the statutory fee for filing the appeal was no	ot submitted. See 37 CFR	1.17(b).
(c) the appeal fee received on was not t	timely filed.	
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient.	The appeal fee required by	37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFI rejection in this application.	R 1.191 in that there is no re	ecord of a second or a final
(f) 🛛 a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was maile		<u>d)</u> .
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptab	le for the reason(s) indicate	ed below:
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 3	7 CFR 1.192.	
(b) \Box the statutory fee for filing the brief has not be	oeen submitted. See 37 CF	R 1.17(c).
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insuffic	ient. The brief fee required	by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$
The appeal in this application will be dismissed ubrief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may be		
3. The appeal in this application is DISMISSED bed	cause:	
 (a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as require period for obtaining an extension of time to 		
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the period CFR 1.136 has expired.	for obtaining an extension	of time to file the brief under 37
(c) Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	under 37 CFR 1.114 was f	iled on
(d)		
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this appl	ication:	
(a) \square is abandoned because there are no allowed	d claims.	
 (b) is before the examiner for final disposition to on the merits remains CLOSED. 	because it contains allowed	claims. Prosecution
(c) is before the examiner for consideration of to 37 CFR 1.114.	C Pr	ution has been reopened pursuant hristopher S. Kim rimary Examiner t Unit: 3752